

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/317958051>

Prone to feel guilty: Self-evaluative emotions in alcohol-dependence

Article in *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* · June 2017

DOI: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2017.06.030

CITATIONS

0

READS

50

4 authors, including:



[Delphine Grynberg](#)

Université Charles-de-Gaulle Lille 3

27 PUBLICATIONS 376 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Philippe de Timary](#)

Université Catholique de Louvain

150 PUBLICATIONS 2,431 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Pierre Maurage](#)

Université Catholique de Louvain

99 PUBLICATIONS 1,518 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



A Psychological and Process-Based Approach of Mobile Phone Excessive Use [View project](#)



Affective Processing [View project](#)

All content following this page was uploaded by [Delphine Grynberg](#) on 28 July 2017.

The user has requested enhancement of the downloaded file.



Short communication

Prone to feel guilty: Self-evaluative emotions in alcohol-dependence

Delphine Grynberg^{a,*}, Philippe de Timary^{b,c,d}, Aude Van Heuverswijn^b, Pierre Maurage^{b,d}^a Univ. Lille, UMR 9193 - SCALab - Sciences Cognitives et Sciences Affectives, F-59000, Lille, France^b Laboratory for Experimental Psychopathology, Psychological Sciences Research Institute, Université catholique de Louvain, 10 Place C. Mercier, B-1348, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium^c Department of Adult Psychiatry, Saint-Luc Academic Hospital, 10 Avenue Hippocrate, B-1200, Brussels, Belgium^d Institute of Neuroscience, Université catholique de Louvain, 10 Avenue Hippocrate, B-1200, Belgium

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Alcohol-dependence
Self-evaluative emotions
Guilt
Shame

ABSTRACT

Background: Prior research has repeatedly shown that alcohol-dependence is associated with interpersonal difficulties. However, guilt and shame, two crucial self-evaluative emotions triggered by the transgression of social norms, have not been explored among alcohol-dependent individuals despite their important role in psychiatric disorders. The present study thus aimed to investigate whether alcohol-dependence is associated with greater proneness to negatively evaluate one's own behaviors (guilt) or the entire self (shame).

Methods: 25 alcohol-dependent individuals (ADI) and 25 matched healthy individuals completed a scenario-based inventory (TOSCA-3), requiring from participants to rate the extent they will react to each scenario in terms of (contextualized) guilt and shame. Participants also completed a list of adjectives related to the frequency at which they generally experience (uncontextualized) guilt and shame (PFQ-2).

Results: When controlling for possible confounds (i.e., depression and anxiety), ADI reported greater proneness to experience guilt at the TOSCA-3 ($\eta^2 = .22$) compared to healthy individuals.

Conclusions: This study is the first to show that alcohol-dependence is associated with greater contextualized guilt-proneness, i.e., negative evaluation of one's own behaviors that transgress social norms. Therefore, these results reinforce the relevance of social disorders in alcohol-dependence and indicate that ADI may benefit of therapeutic programs to avoid a generalization of guilt towards shame.

1. Introduction

Alcohol-related disorders are among the most widespread psychiatric conditions worldwide (Harper and Matsumoto, 2005) and lead to biological, neurological, cognitive and affective deficits (Castellano et al., 2015; Leclercq et al., 2014; Stavro et al., 2013). Specifically, the frequent use of excessive alcohol consumption as a coping strategy to face emotional and interpersonal difficulties (Spada et al., 2013; Zywiak et al., 2003) might initiate a vicious circle favoring the development and maintenance of alcohol-related disorders (Kornreich et al., 2002). Beyond the cognitive factors involved in alcohol-dependence (Stavro et al., 2013), socio-emotional factors thus play a crucial role and constitute a key relapse factor (Zywiak et al., 2003).

Alcohol-dependence is associated with strong deficits in processing socio-emotional signals, encompassing deteriorated emotional decoding (Grynberg et al., 2017; Maurage et al., 2009), affective empathy (Maurage et al., 2011), and social interactions (Thoma et al., 2013). However, while the deficits in understanding others' emotional signals

is well established, the way alcohol-dependent individuals (ADI) experience social emotions has been less explored. This is particularly true for guilt and shame, which are unpleasant self-evaluative feelings triggered by social norms transgressions (Tangney et al., 2007; Treeby et al., 2015) and highly involved in psychiatric disorders (Kroll and Egan, 2004). Besides their similarities, these emotions differ, as shame is a negative evaluation focused on the self while guilt focused on the (problematic) behavior (Treeby et al., 2015).

Despite their high prevalence in other psychiatric disorders (Dirkse et al., 2014; Webb et al., 2007), guilt and shame have been largely unexplored in alcohol-dependence (DSM-IV) or severe alcohol-use disorder (DSM-V criteria). Alcohol abuse (Treeby and Bruno, 2012) and substance-use problems (Dearing et al., 2005) are positively associated with shame-proneness and negatively with guilt-proneness. Moreover, lower guilt-proneness is related to higher drug use in adolescence (Evans et al., 1978; Quiles et al., 2002; Schill and Althoff, 1975). Only two studies examined shame and guilt-proneness in clinical populations (Meehan et al., 1996; O'Connor et al., 1994), showing that compared to

* Correspondence to: Université Lille III, Domaine universitaire du Pont de Bois, BP 149, 59653, Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex, France.

E-mail addresses: delphine.grynberg@univ-lille3.fr (D. Grynberg), philippe.detimary@uclouvain.be (P. de Timary), aude.vanheuverswijn@gmail.com (A. Van Heuverswijn), pierre.maurage@uclouvain.be (P. Maurage).<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2017.06.030>

Received 4 March 2017; Received in revised form 28 June 2017; Accepted 28 June 2017

Available online 24 July 2017

0376-8716/ © 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

healthy control individuals (CI), polysubstance abusers reported lower guilt-proneness and higher shame-proneness. Previous findings also indicate that higher shame levels are associated with relapse in alcohol-dependence (Wiechelt and Sales, 2001), and that guilt feelings correlate with psychological distress among ADI taking part in self-help discussion groups, after talking about past drinking (Randles and Tracy, 2013).

These preliminary findings clearly underlined the importance of these self-evaluative emotions in substance-use disorders, but presented two main limitations. First, no study specifically focused on ADI, as earlier works either included non-dependent alcohol consumers (i.e., mild or moderate alcohol-use disorder) or polysubstance abusers. However, ADI are distinct from these participants, as: (1) the relationship between alcohol intakes and emotional/interpersonal problems is not linear, a qualitative gap existing between mild-moderate and severe alcohol-use disorders (Bellos et al., 2016); (2) polysubstance abusers present cognitive and psychological characteristics which differentiate them from ADI (e.g., depression, social anxiety, memory and inhibition deficits; (Oakland and McChargue, 2014; Schmidt et al., 2017). Therefore, conclusions drawn on previous works cannot be directly transferred to alcohol-dependence. Second, it is important to disentangle the effect of alcohol-dependence and comorbidities (depression and anxiety), as these comorbidities have a high prevalence in ADI (Gilman and Abraham, 2001).

The present paper thus aims to overcome these limitations by evaluating guilt and shame-proneness among ADI, using two measures, namely the Test of Self-Conscious Affect-3 (TOSCA-3) (Tangney et al., 2000), and the Personal Feelings Questionnaire-2 (PFQ-2) (Harder and Zalma, 1990), with a strict control of potentially biasing variables. The TOSCA-3 and PFQ-2 are considered as complementary measures, as supported by their significant positive correlations (e.g., Averill et al., 2002; Nugier et al., 2012). The TOSCA-3 is based on scenarios, each followed by possible reactions (including shame and guilt) for which individuals have to rate to which extent they would react this way. It thus allows the assessment of these feelings in specific situations. The PFQ-2 is an adjective checklist that refers to the proneness to experience guilt and shame in general, without referring to any specific event. Based on previous findings in substance abusers, it can be hypothesized that ADI will present increased shame proneness and lower guilt proneness.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Twenty-five inpatients (11 women), diagnosed with alcohol-dependence according to DSM-IV criteria (and presenting at least 8 criteria related to alcohol-use disorder in the DSM-V, thus ensuring the presence of severe alcohol-use disorder), were recruited in a detoxification center (St Luc Hospital, Belgium). Participants were tested during their third week of detoxification and had all been abstinent for at least 14 days (to 21 days). All patients were free of any other psychiatric disorder (the presence of such other psychiatric diagnosis, assessed by an exhaustive psychiatric examination conducted by a trained psychiatrist, constituting an exclusion criterion). Demographic and alcohol-consumption characteristics are presented in Table 1. Twenty-five (9 women) CI (i.e., acquaintances of the authors) who were free of any history of psychiatric disorder or drug/substance abuse were matched with ADI and presented low alcohol consumption (AUDIT score > 7). Exclusion criteria for both groups included major medical problems, neurological disease, visual impairment, serious chronic disease requiring medication and poly-substance abuse.

2.2. Test of Self-Conscious Affect-3 (TOSCA-3) (Nugier et al., 2012; Tangney et al., 2000)

This test is based on 16 scenarios (11 negative, 5 positive), each followed by four or five possible answers, referring to shame, guilt, pride, externalization (blaming external causes) and detachment (unconcern) reactions for which individuals have to rate the extent they will react this way (1 = not likely to 5 = very likely). The internal consistency is satisfactory for shame (.81) and guilt proneness (.78). As pride, externalization and detachment were not relevant for the current study, only guilt and shame results will be presented.

2.3. Personal Feelings Questionnaire-2 (PFQ-2) (Harder and Zalma, 1990; Nugier et al., 2012)

This test is a self-report 16-item list of adjectives related to guilt and shame. Participants are instructed to rate the frequency at which they experience guilt (e.g., regret) and shame (e.g., humiliated) (0 = never to 4 = continuously or almost continuously). The internal consistency is satisfactory for shame (.91) and guilt (.91).

Participants' levels of depression, anxiety and alcohol-use disorders were also measured with the 21-item Beck Depression Inventory (BDI; Beck, 1978), the State and Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI-B; Spielberger et al., 1983), and the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT; Babor et al., 2001).

2.4. Procedure

Participants were provided with full details regarding the aims of the study and the procedure to be followed, and then gave their written informed consent and completed the questionnaires and the task without compensation. The study was approved by the Local Ethics Committees and carried out according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.5. Data analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS software package. Group comparisons were based on ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses. Pearson correlations investigated the association between guilt and shame proneness and other variables (i.e., BDI, STAI-B, age, education, alcohol measures).

3. Results

3.1. Correlations (Table 1)

Pearson's correlations were computed in the whole sample between all variables revealing that PFQ-2 guilt-proneness is correlated with BDI ($r = .72; p < .001$) and STAI-B scores ($r = .49; p < .01$) and that PFQ-2 shame-proneness is correlated with BDI scores ($r = .35; p < .01$). In ADI, there was no influence of alcohol measures on shame and guilt-proneness ($ps > .06$) except between alcohol consumption and TOSCA-3 shame-proneness ($r = -.43; p < .05$).

3.2. Control measures (Table 1)

Groups did not differ for age ($F(1,49) = 0.00; p = 1.00$), gender ($Chi^2 = 0.33; p = .56$), and education ($F(1,49) = 2.11; p = .15$). ADI showed higher scores than CI for depression ($F(1,49) = 42.22; p < .001$) and anxiety ($F(1,35) = 6.19; p = .018$).

3.3. Experimental measures (Fig. 1)

Compared to CI, ADI presented increased TOSCA-3 guilt-proneness ($F(1,48) = 13.10; p = .001; \eta^2 = .22$) but did not differ for TOSCA-3 Shame-proneness ($F(1,48) = 0.42; p = .52; \eta^2 = .01$). When adding BDI

Table 1
Demographic, alcohol-consumption, psychopathological and outcome measures for the alcohol-dependent (ADI) and healthy control (CI) individuals (Mean SD, and Range) and Pearson's correlations between variables in the whole sample.

	ADI (n = 25)			CI (n = 25)			2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	Mean	SD	Range	Mean	SD	Range										
1 TOSCA-3 Shame	2.36	0.59	1.56–3.94	2.51	0.65	1.56–4.06	.32*	.32*	.32*	.16	.17	.17	-.08	-.43*	.20	.33
2 TOSCA-3Guilt	3.82	0.44	2.56–4.63	3.29	0.57	2.38–4.50	.33*	.36*	-.09	-.05	.19	.02	-.38	.01	-.03	
3 PFQ-2 Shame-proneness	1.36	0.85	0.10–3.50	0.93	0.76	0–3.60			.65*	-.07	-.23	.35*	.31	.01	-.25	.08
4 PFQ-2 Guilt-proneness	2.49	0.93	1–3.86	1.34	0.61	0.33–2.67				.03	-.13	.72**	.49**	-.04	.39	.04
5 Age	48.16	10.90	29–65	48.16	11.12	27–63					.24	.13	.05	-.23	.19	.81**
6 Education level	12.72	3.37	6–16	13.91	2.38	6–16						-.35*	-.34*	-.18	.26	.39
7 Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)	10.96	6.97	1–24	1.60	1.83	0–6							.65**	-.13	.30	.11
8 State and Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI-B)	42.77	8.02	32–56	37.26	5.28	26–48								-.42	.31	-.21
9 Alcohol consumption per day (in alcohol units)	29.32	8.52	15–45	-	-	-									-.20	-.27
10 Number of previous detoxifications	0.60	1.12	0–5	-	-	-										.17
11 Duration of alcohol-dependence (in years)	11.92	6.62	2–27	-	-	-										-

* $p < .05$.
** $p < .01$.




Fig. 1. Scores (Mean and SE) of guilt and shame proneness at the TOSCA-3 (a) and the PFQ-2 (b) in alcohol-dependent (ADI) and healthy control individuals (CI). Note. Following correlational analyses, the PFQ-2 scores presented are corrected for depression (PFQ-2 Shame) or depression and trait anxiety (PFQ-2 Guilt) using covariance analyses. Covariates appearing in the model are evaluated at the following values: 6.28 for Beck Depression Inventory (PFQ-2 Shame); 4.44 for Beck Depression Inventory and 39.25 for Spielberger Trait Anxiety Inventory (PFQ-2 Guilt). * $p < .001$.

and STAI-B as covariates in ANCOVA analysis for PFQ-2 guilt-proneness, and depression as covariate for PFQ-2 shame-proneness, the group effect was not significant for any measure (respectively $F(1,32) = 1.72; p = .20; \eta^2 = .051$ and $F(1,47) = 0.06; p = .81; \eta^2 = .00$) (Fig. 1).

4. Discussion

This study explored whether alcohol-dependence is associated with shame and guilt-proneness. ADI reported increased guilt-proneness, but unmodified shame-proneness, suggesting that alcohol-dependence is mainly associated with increased tendency to feel responsible for a problematic behavior. Indeed, we found that ADI reported greater tendency to experience guilt in response to different positive and negative situations. ADI have thus general negative appraisal of their behaviors, blame themselves for a problematic behavior and attribute these negative actions to their own controllable behavior. The present findings thus reinforce the relevance of the social factors in alcohol-dependence, and specifically the importance ADI give to social approval and acceptance, as observed earlier for increased fear of social rejection (Maurage et al., 2012) and excessively high social standards (Maurage et al., 2013).

Importantly, TOSCA-3 guilt and PFQ-2 guilt are complementary measures exploring different constructs. TOSCA-3 indeed measures

both adaptive/non-pathological (tendency to repair) and maladaptive/pathological (remorse, rumination) guilt, while PFQ-2 focuses on maladaptive/pathological guilt (Luyten et al., 2002). Because ADI reported greater TOSCA-3 guilt, our data support a general tendency in ADI to experience greater contextualized adaptive and/or maladaptive guilt. Specifically guilt can be adaptive by promoting constructive pursuits to repair (Burney and Irwin, 2000; Tangney et al., 2007). Therefore, ADI patients might be inclined to recover from alcohol-dependence such that their guilt-proneness may have spurred them to “repair” by recovering. This is in line with data showing in CI that guilt feelings following negative alcohol consumption memory leads to greater readiness to change one’s own drinking (Rodriguez et al., 2015). On the other hand, guilt may become maladaptive when a person’s guilt experience is generalized to the self and thus increases shame (Tangney et al., 2007), or when no repair possibility exists (Bybee et al., 1996).

The non-significant effect of group on PFQ-2 guilt after controlling for covariates may be related to the correlations between PFQ-2 guilt, depression and anxiety, which are highly prevalent in ADI (Gilman and Abraham, 2001). Some authors have even argued that PFQ-2 guilt-proneness rather measures shame (e.g., Tangney, 1996) because of its uncontextualized evaluation of the self.

Conversely, groups did not differ for shame-proneness, suggesting that ADI are not characterized by tendencies to experience negative self-evaluation (shame). These findings contradict our hypotheses based

on previous findings showing greater shame-proneness and lower guilt-proneness in substance-use disorders (Dearing et al., 2005; Treeby and Bruno, 2012). However, these studies mainly focused on non-clinical populations, have not differentiated alcohol abuse from drug abuse and/or have not use complementary measures of guilt and shame. This study is thus the first to measure social emotions in ADI with scenario-based and self-evaluative instruments, and to show a clear dissociation between high guilt-proneness and preserved shame-proneness. Importantly, the present sample (i.e., patients voluntarily seeking treatment) could have influenced these relationships. It may be that ADI that are in an earlier stage of change may experience more shame and less guilt. If guilt-proneness is potentially a motivating factor for seeking treatment, it is possible that the present group of ADI does not fully represent the ADI population. Further studies are necessary to better apprehend the possible variation of these feelings according to detoxification stage and treatment motivation.

As the present study is based on a cross-sectional design, it remains essential to better understand the influence of guilt-proneness on the development and maintenance of alcohol disorders. Further research should also examine the respective role of shame and guilt at a dispositional (proneness) and situational (in relation to alcohol consumption) level on recovery outcomes, as this distinction has shown relevance in eating disorders (Burney and Irwin, 2000). Finally, as the presence of another psychiatric diagnosis constituted an exclusion criterion in the present study, future studies should explore the joint influence of alcohol-dependence and frequent comorbidities (e.g., depression, anxiety) on guilt or shame proneness.

In conclusion, although it is essential for future studies to better understand whether dispositional and/or situational guilt predicts alcohol disorders and motivation in seeking treatment, this study showed that ADI are characterized by greater proneness to negatively evaluate their problematic behaviors. At a clinical level, ADI may benefit of acceptance or self-compassion programs (Held and Owens, 2015) to avoid a generalization of guilt feelings towards negative evaluation of the entire self (shame).

Authors contribution

DG, PdT, AVH, PM made substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data. DG, PdT, PM drafted the article or revising it critically. DG, PdT, AVH, PM approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Pierre Muraige (Research Associate) is funded by the Belgian Fund for Scientific Research (F.N.R.S., Belgium), but this fund did not exert any editorial direction or censorship on any part of this article.

Conflict of interest

None.

References

- Averill, P.M., Diefenbach, G.J., Stanley, M.A., Breckenridge, J.K., Lusby, B., 2002. Assessment of shame and guilt in a psychiatric sample: a comparison of two measures. *Personal. Individ. Differ.* 32, 1365–1376.
- Babor, T.F., Higgins-Biddle, J., Saunders, J.B., Monteiro, M.G., 2001. AUDIT The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test: Guidelines for use in primary care, 2nd ed. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Beck, A.T., 1978. Depression Inventory. Philadelphia Center for Cognitive Therapy, Philadelphia.
- Bellos, S., Skapinakis, P., Rai, D., Zitko, P., Araya, R., Lewis, G., Lionis, C., Mavreas, V., 2016. Longitudinal association between different levels of alcohol consumption and new onset of depression and generalized anxiety disorder: results from an international study in primary care. *Psychiatry Res.* 243, 30–34.
- Burney, J., Irwin, H.J., 2000. Shame and guilt in women with eating-disorder symptomatology. *J. Clin. Psychol.* 56, 51–61.
- Bybee, J., Zigler, E., Berliner, D., Merisca, R., 1996. Guilt, guilt-evoking events, depression, and eating disorders. *Curr. Psychol.* 15, 113–127.
- Castellano, F., Bartoli, F., Crocamo, C., Gamba, G., Tremolada, M., Santambrogio, J., Clerici, M., Carrà, G., 2015. Facial emotion recognition in alcohol and substance use disorders: a meta-analysis. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 59, 147–154.
- Dearing, R.L., Stuewig, J., Tangney, J.P., 2005. On the importance of distinguishing shame from guilt: relations to problematic alcohol and drug use. *Addict. Behav.* 30, 1392–1404.
- Dirkse, D., Lamont, L., Li, Y., Simonič, A., Bebb, G., Giese-Davis, J., 2014. Shame, guilt, and communication in lung cancer patients and their partners. *Curr. Oncol. Tor. Ont.* 21, e718–e722.
- Evans, R.G., Schill, T.R., Monroe, S., 1978. The relationship between guilt and quality of drug experiences. *J. Clin. Psychol.* 34, 999–1000.
- Gilman, S.E., Abraham, H.D., 2001. A longitudinal study of the order of onset of alcohol dependence and major depression. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 63, 277–286.
- Grynberg, D., Muraige, P., Nandrin, J.-L., 2017. Preserved affective sharing but impaired decoding of contextual complex emotions in alcohol dependence. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 41, 779–785.
- Harder, D.H., Zalma, A., 1990. Two promising shame and guilt scales: a construct validity comparison. *J. Pers. Assess.* 55, 729–745.
- Harper, C., Matsumoto, I., 2005. Ethanol and brain damage. *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* 5, 73–78.
- Held, P., Owens, G.P., 2015. Effects of self-compassion workbook training on trauma-related guilt in a sample of homeless veterans: a pilot study. *J. Clin. Psychol.* 71, 513–526.
- Kornreich, C., Philippot, P., Foisy, M.-L., Blairy, S., Raynaud, E., Dan, B., Hess, U., Noël, X., Pelc, I., Verbanck, P., 2002. Impaired emotional facial expression recognition is associated with interpersonal problems in alcoholism. *Alcohol Alcohol. Oxf. Acad.* 37, 394–400.
- Kroll, J., Egan, E., 2004. Psychiatry, moral worry, and the moral emotions. *J. Psychiatr. Pract.* 10, 352–360.
- Leclercq, S., Matamoros, S., Cani, P.D., Neyrinck, A.M., Jamar, F., Stärkel, P., Windey, K., Tremaroli, V., Bäckhed, F., Verbeke, K., de Timary, P., Delzenne, N.M., 2014. Intestinal permeability, gut-bacterial dysbiosis, and behavioral markers of alcohol-dependence severity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 111, E4485–E4493.
- Luyten, P., Fontaine, J.R.J., Corveleyn, J., 2002. Does the Test of Self-Conscious Affect (TOSCA) measure maladaptive aspects of guilt and adaptive aspects of shame? An empirical investigation. *Personal. Individ. Differ.* 33, 1373–1387.
- Muraige, P., Campanella, S., Philippot, P., Charest, I., Martin, S., de Timary, P., 2009. Impaired emotional facial expression decoding in alcoholism is also present for emotional prosody and body postures. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 44, 476–485.
- Muraige, P., Grynberg, D., Noël, X., Joassin, F., Philippot, P., Hanak, C., Verbanck, P., Luminet, O., de Timary, P., Campanella, S., 2011. Dissociation between affective and cognitive empathy in alcoholism: a specific deficit for the emotional dimension. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 9, 1662–1668.
- Muraige, P., Joassin, F., Philippot, P., Heeren, A., Vermeulen, N., Mahau, P., Delperdange, C., Corneille, O., Luminet, O., de Timary, P., 2012. Disrupted regulation of social exclusion in alcohol-dependence: an fMRI study. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 37, 2067–2075.
- Muraige, P., de Timary, P., Moulds, M.L., Wong, Q.J.J., Collignon, M., Philippot, P., Heeren, A., 2013. Maladaptive social self-beliefs in alcohol-dependence: a specific bias towards excessive high standards. *PLoS One* 8, e58928.
- Meehan, W., O'Connor, L.E., Berry, J.W., Weiss, J., Morrison, A., Acampora, A., 1996. Guilt, shame, and depression in clients in recovery from addiction. *J. Psychoactive Drugs* 28, 125–134.
- Nugier, A., Gil, S., Chekroun, P., 2012. Validation française du test des émotions réflexives (French-TOSCA-3): une mesure des tendances émotionnelles de honte et de culpabilité. *Rev. Eur. Psychol. Appliquée/Eur. Rev. Appl. Psychol.* 62, 19–27.
- O'Connor, L.E., Berry, J.W., Inaba, D., Weiss, J., Morrison, A., 1994. Shame, guilt, and depression in men and women in recovery from addiction. *J. Subst. Abuse Treat.* 11, 503–510.
- Oakland, A., McChargue, D., 2014. Polysubstance use, social anxiety, and length of treatment for alcohol use disorders. *J. Dual Diagn.* 10, 3–8.
- Quiles, Z.N., Kinnunen, T., Bybee, J., 2002. Aspects of guilt and self-reported substance use in adolescence. *J. Drug Educ.* 32, 343–362.
- Randles, D., Tracy, J.L., 2013. Nonverbal displays of shame predict relapse and declining health in recovering alcoholics. *Clin. Psychol. Sci.* 1, 149–155.
- Rodriguez, L.M., Young, C.M., Neighbors, C., Campbell, M.T., Lu, Q., 2015. Evaluating guilt and shame in an expressive writing alcohol intervention. *Alcohol* 49, 491–498.
- Schill, T., Althoff, M., 1975. Drug experiences, knowledge, and attitudes of high- and low-guilt individuals. *J. Consult. Clin. Psychol.* 43, 106.
- Schmidt, T.P., Pennington, D.L., Carboos, S.L., Durazzo, T.C., Meyerhoff, D.J., 2017. Neurocognition and inhibitory control in polysubstance use disorders: comparison with alcohol use disorders and changes with abstinence. *J. Clin. Exp. Neuropsychol.* 39, 22–34.
- Spada, M.M., Caselli, G., Wells, A., 2013. A triphasic metacognitive formulation of problem drinking. *Clin. Psychol. Psychother.* 20, 494–500.
- Spielberger, C.D., Gorsuch, R.L., Lushene, R., Vagg, P.R., Jacobs, G.A., 1983. Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory. Consulting Psychologists Press, Palo Alto, CA.
- Stavro, K., Pelletier, J., Potvin, S., 2013. Widespread and sustained cognitive deficits in alcoholism: a meta-analysis. *Addict. Biol.* 18, 203–213.
- Tangney, J.P., Dearing, R.L., Wagner, P.E., Gramzow, R., 2000. The Test of Self-Conscious Affect-3 (TOSCA-3). George Mason University, Fairfax, VA.
- Tangney, J.P., Stuewig, J., Mashek, D.J., 2007. Moral emotions and moral behavior. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* 58, 345–372.
- Tangney, J.P., 1996. Conceptual and methodological issues in the assessment of shame

- and guilt. *Behav. Res. Ther.* 34, 741–754.
- Thoma, P., Friedmann, C., Suchan, B., 2013. Empathy and social problem solving in alcohol dependence: mood disorders and selected personality disorders. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 37, 448–470.
- Treeby, M., Bruno, R., 2012. Shame and guilt-proneness: divergent implications for problematic alcohol use and drinking to cope with anxiety and depression symptomatology. *Personal. Individ. Differ.* 53, 613–617.
- Treeby, Prado, C., Rice, S.M., Crowe, S.F., 2015. Shame, guilt, and facial emotion processing: initial evidence for a positive relationship between guilt-proneness and facial emotion recognition ability. *Cogn. Emot.* 1–8.
- Webb, M., Heisler, D., Call, S., Chickering, S.A., Colburn, T.A., 2007. Shame, guilt, symptoms of depression, and reported history of psychological maltreatment. *Child Abuse Negl.* 31, 1143–1153.
- Wiechelt, S.A., Sales, E., 2001. The role of shame in women's recovery from alcoholism: the impact of childhood sexual abuse. *J. Soc. Work Pract. Addict.* 1, 101–116.
- Zywiak, W.H., Westerberg, V.S., Connors, G.J., Maisto, S.A., 2003. Exploratory findings from the reasons for drinking questionnaire. *J. Subst. Abuse Treat.* 25, 287–292.